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ABSTRACT

Background: The prevalence of gastric polyps varies around the world reflecting regional associations. We describe demographic features of patients with gastric polyp diagnosis treated between 1980 and 2016 at a referral center in Mexico City and analyzed trends of polyp subtype. Materials and Methods: We conducted a blind review of archival slides of gastric biopsies with polyp diagnosis from the years 1980, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2016. Initial diagnosis; patient's gender, age and symptoms; and number and location of lesions were recorded. Blind slide review and trend analysis were performed. Results: In 3887 gastric biopsies, 192 patients (4.93%) with epithelial polyps were identified. The median age of patients was 58 years; 73% were female. Polyps were single in 143/192 cases (74.4%), almost 67% in the oxyntic mucosa, and 85% were associated with dyspepsia. The prevalence was 0.5%, 1.6%, 1.9%, 4.6%, and 9.6% for the years 1980, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2016, respectively, resulting in a rising trend in the prevalence of epithelial polyps of 380% in 46 years. Fundic gland polyps (FGPs) had a global frequency of 66.6% (128/192). They were identified for the first time in the third period of the study, with a frequency of 28.6% (6/21), 66.6% (35/53), and 78.3% (87/111) for the years 2000, 2010, and 2016, respectively. Contrary, hyperplastic polyps (HPs) decreased 20%. A relative prevalence of 3.29%, 0.97%, and 0.15% was observed for FGP, HP, and gastric adenoma, respectively. Discussion: The 1400% change of FGP explains the increased prevalence of gastric polyps. Chronic treatment with proton pump inhibitors and Helicobacter pylori eradication are possible explanations.
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INTRODUCTION

A polyp is any protrusion from the surface of the gastrointestinal mucosa observed with the endoscope. These lesions may be heterotopias, lymphoid tissue, stromal lesions, or true epithelial polyps. Differences in histology, gross aspect, and environmental and clinical associations are observed between hyperplastic, fundic gland, and adenomatous polyps, the three most frequently recognized subtypes of gastric polyps. Histologic diagnosis of polyp subtype is necessary in endoscopies with suspicious results for the adequate treatment and follow-up of patients. Approximately 20% of biopsy specimens identified endoscopically as polyps have no definitive pathological diagnosis.

Hyperplastic foveolae with cystic gland dilation and a variable amount of inflamed stroma in the antrum are the typical picture of hyperplastic polyps (HP); the surrounding mucosa depicts chronic gastritis with Helicobacter pylori infection, and varying foci of intestinal metaplasia or dysplasia can be observed. Nearly
<1% of hyperplastic lesions can contain adenocarcinoma within the polyp, but it can be up to 6% in the adjacent gastric mucosa. Fundic gland polyps (FGPs) are located in the oxyntic mucosa and associated with a chronic use of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs). Parietal cell hyperplasia and protrusion to cystic glandular spaces with edema and minimal inflammation in the stroma are prototypical findings. Chronic inflammation in gastric mucosa, atrophy, intestinal metaplasia, and dysplasia are steps conducing to sporadic adenomas. Syndromic gastric polyps with these phenotypes have been described and are not the focus of this paper.

The prevalence of gastric polyps around the world is variable, reflecting regional etiologies or associations, and seems to be increasing. The two most frequently associated conditions are *H. pylori* eradication treatments and the use of PPIs in the treatment of dyspepsia. Both conditions are associated with dynamic variations in the relative prevalence of hyperplastic and FGPs.

Since scant data are available on gastric polyps in Mexico, our objective was to conduct a new revision of the original slides from all gastric biopsies with a gastric polyp diagnosis from surgical pathology records and describe demographic features of patients and time trends of polyp subtype for the years 1980, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2016.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We conducted a search in the surgical pathology archives of all gastric biopsies with a morphologic diagnosis performed in the years 1980, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2016 at Instituto Nacional de la Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, a referral center in Mexico City. Patients with a morphologic diagnosis of any type of gastric polyp were identified, and the slides retrieved from files. The initial diagnosis, gender, age, symptoms, number of lesions, and locations within the stomach were recorded. A blind review of patients’ clinical information and morphologic data of the slides stained with hematoxylin and eosin was performed by an experienced pathologist, who used a multi-head microscope (NIKON ECLIPSE 80i). Reviewer diagnosis and the rest of variables were analyzed using descriptive statistics (SPSS 20). Significance tests were performed using Mann-Kendall test for time trend and χ² for categorical variables (p < 0.05).

Regarding the definition of variables, we considered FGP if microscopy showed cystically dilated glands lined by gastric body type mucosa with parietal cell hyperplasia and minimal inflammation in the stroma. In the case of HP diagnosis, we observed a proliferation of surface foveolar cells lining elongated, distorted pits, and glands that extend deep into the lamina propria showing edema and inflammation. Moreover, polypoid projection of a dysplastic epithelium associated with atrophy and intestinal metaplasia was established as adenoma.

**RESULTS**

A total of 3887 patients underwent gastric biopsies, and 192 epithelial polyps (4.9%) were identified in the re-revised slides (Fig. 1). The median age of patients with polyps was 58 years (range 19–94 years), and 73% were female (Fig. 2). A single polyp was found in 143/192 (74.4%), almost 67% of polyps were located in the oxyntic mucosa and 85% were associated with dyspepsia. The prevalence of gastric epithelial polyps among patients with gastric biopsies was 0.5%, 1.6%, 1.9%, 4.6%, and 9.6% for the years 1980, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2016, respectively. The rising time trend in these 46 years in the prevalence of epithelial polyps was 380% (p < 0.017) (Table 1). A relative prevalence of 3.29%, 0.97%, and 0.15% was observed for FGPs, HPs, and gastric adenoma, respectively (Table 2).

**Time trends of gastric polyp subtypes**

HPs were observed in 38/192 (19.8%) patients. Time trend variations were 0.015, 0.004, 0.012, and 0.012 during the years 1980, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2016, respectively, a 20% decrease since 1980 (Fig. 3). To better estimate the percentage of change, we added the years 1980 and 1990; only one polyp was observed between 187 gastric biopsies in 1980. According to the histologic diagnosis, FGPs had a global frequency of 66.6% (128/192). They were identified for the first time in the third period of the study, and since then, they constituted 28.6% (6/21), 66.6%
Table 1. Since 2000, an increase of 1400% was identified in the prevalence of fundic gland polyps and a decrease in hyperplastic polyps in the past years of our study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trends</th>
<th>1980 and 1990 <em>(n = 554)</em></th>
<th>2000 <em>(n = 1051)</em></th>
<th>2010 <em>(n = 1129)</em></th>
<th>2016 <em>(n = 1153)</em></th>
<th><strong>Percentage change (total gastric biopsies = 3887)</strong></th>
<th>Total gastric polyps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em><strong>FGP</strong></em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>1400%</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplastic</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>−20%</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenomas</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>−66.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em><strong>Others</strong></em></td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>−23%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyp trend</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>380%</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total gastric polyps</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>−192%</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total gastric biopsies performed in the year were represented by "n."
**Percentage of change in prevalence was obtained by the formula = (final rate-initial rate/initial rate) 100.
This formula was not available in ***other polyps since there was a value of zero between the years 2000 and 2016.
***FGP: Fundic gland polyps.
****Others: Foveolar, hamartomatous, and prolapse type polyps.

Table 2. Polyp subtypes in five different years (1980, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2016). A gastric polyp prevalence of 4.9% was observed with fundic gland polyps explaining most of the cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>FGP</em></td>
<td>128</td>
<td>3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplastic</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenomas</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Others</strong></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>4.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FGP: Fundic gland polyps
**Others: Foveolar, hamartomatous, and prolapse type polyps

(35/53), and 78.3% (87/111), during the years 2000, 2010, and 2016, respectively. The percentage of change for this lesion since 1990 was 1400%.

Six gastric adenomas were observed (4 in 2010 and 2 in 2016), in patients with a median age of 64 years. Less morphologically characterized gastric epithelial polyps were recorded (foveolar and hamartomatous polyps). Gastrointestinal polyp subtypes in relation to gender and age

Gastric adenomas were mainly observed in older patients. HPs and FGP s were evenly dispersed across all age spectra in this series (Fig. 2). Female-to-male ratio was 3.1, without association with the polyp phenotype or year of its morphologic diagnosis (Fig. 2).

Even if the initial endoscopic and histopathologic diagnosis coincided with a gastric polyp diagnosis, the reevaluation of the original slides could not confirm the diagnosis of gastric polyps in 22 (10.2%) cases, so they were excluded (Fig. 1). Of these, 14 corresponded to H. pylori-associated gastritis with follicular hyperplasia, 6 to pseudopolyp foveolar hyperplasia, one to an ulcer rim, and another to a mucosal fold. In another 22 patients (10.2%), there was a disagreement with the original polyp phenotype reported. Twelve HPs were reinterpreted as FGP s (8), foveolar polyp (3), and one as a gastric adenoma. Five FGP s were reclassified as hyperplastic (2), foveolar (1), adenoma (1), and
Figure 2. Gender and age of patients with gastric polyps. A 3:1 female-to-male ratio was observed across all ages. A higher number of patients were older than 58 years (p < 0.001).

Figure 3. Time trends in gastric polyp subtypes.
hamartomatous (1) polyps. From 3 lesions considered as adenomas, 2 were foveolar and one a submucosal prolapse gastric polyp.

DISCUSSION

Even in symptomatic patients submitted to endoscopic sampling of elevated lesions in the gastric mucosa, gastric epithelial polyps are an infrequent finding, observed in 4.93% of patients in the present study. This prevalence is similar to the 0.58% and 6.35% previously reported in different populations11,12. However, it is higher than the 0.62% prevalence reported in a former study describing 100 gastric polyps observed in 15,974 upper endoscopies performed during 9 years (1981–1989) in this same third-level hospital8, and closer to the 3.7% observed during a study from 2011 analyzing 2,913 endoscopies performed in a second-level center in Mexico City (9).

The median age of patients with gastric polyps in this series was 58 years, although a trend for an older age at the time of morphologic diagnosis was observed (p < 0.001). A female-to-male ratio of 3:1 was observed for the presence of gastric polyps in biopsies, and this difference was sustained from the year 2000 for the fundic gland and hyperplastic subtypes of polyps. Large (>1 cm) and pedunculated HPs have a higher risk of malignant transformation, although, in the present study, none of the polyps had epithelial dysplasia13. Moreover, adenomas were considered true premalignant conditions of the gastric mucosa, which can lead to carcinoma14.

A high proportion of FGPs was identified in women whose age was above the median age of menopause in Mexican women, suggesting hormonal influences on parietal cell dynamics, as we have perceived in animal models15. These are questions that already exist on the dynamics of precursors and progression of gastric polyps.

A rising trend in gastric polyp prevalence was identified in this study of symptomatic patients with endoscopic sampling of elevated lesions; there was a 380% increase during the period of 46 years among patients whose gastroscopies were performed before or after the introduction of PPIs to the market in Europe (1988), United States (1990), and Mexico (1991-1992)14. A study from Israel, including patients from 1994 through 2009, and two studies from China analyzing patients from 2000 to 2013 identified increases in gastric polyp prevalence in the PPI era5,7,16. Gastric epithelial polyp subtype in the current study has also changed: All the polyps found in 1980 and 1990 were hyperplastic, decreasing by 87% in frequency in 2016 (percentage of change – 20%). On the contrary, FGPs were not found in reviewed biopsies from 1980 and 1990; they were identified for the first time in 2000 and had a constant increase to constitute 78% of polyps in 2016 (percentage of change 1400%). Gastric adenomas were few and without a trend, occurring mainly in older males who had a history of intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia. H. pylori eradication and >12 months on PPI treatment are considered as explanations for both shifts in some populations14.

Since the literature regarding the morphologic diagnosis of gastric polyps has been evolving in these 46 years, we expected variations from the original surgical pathology report. In 44 biopsies (20.4%), we found disagreement with the first report, in half of the cases because the phenotype of the lesion was different, and in the other half because the mucosal elevation was not secondary to an epithelial polyp. In agreement with previous reports17, HPs were more frequently misclassified. This is a reminder that retrospective studies on cancer or its precursor lesions should not base their observations only on archival surgical pathology reports.

In summary, this study identifies a rise in gastric epithelial polyps, with FGP as the main phenotype, and a decrease of HP in the past 46 years in a sample of patients undergoing gastric endoscopy in a third-level setting in Mexico City.
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